AN

APPENDIX,

CONTAINING SOME

REFLECTIONS

UPON

M. WEBSTER'S

Displaying of

Supposed WITCH-CRAFT,

WHEREIN

He handles the Existence and Nature of Angels and Spirits.

LONDON,

Printed for Hen. Brome, at the Gun, at the West-end of S. Pauls Church, 1678.

K

E or w

min er ov h

Reflections on Mr. Webster's Discourses against the Incorporeity of Angels or Spirits.

Hile the fore-going Treatife of Angels The occasi-was under the Press, there came to my on and hands a learned and laborious Vo- scope of the lume of Mr. John Webster, Practitio- ensuing ner in Physick, call'd, The Displaying of supposed Reflecti-Witchcraft, wherein also he discourseth of the Existence and Nature of Angels and Spirits. Upon the perusal of which I have noted some things, which I conceive it pertinent for me to reflect here a little upon.

I shall not presume to censure anything of the main design and scope of this industrious Author, in the profecution of which he hath indeed heaped together many rare and excellent Observations, worthy to be considered of for the improying Knowledge, and rendring all men cautious, how they pronounce of fuch abstruse Subjects.

Much less shall I espouse any man's particular Hypothesis and Quarrel; or attempt the Defence of those eminently worthy Persons, whom he hath fingled out for his Antagonists, the Reverend and Learned Divines, Dr. Cafaubon, Mr. Glanvil, Dr. H. More, who are better able and more concern'd to speak for themselves. Onely I wish for his own

170

fake, that he had treated them with more refpe-Ctive terms, than those of Scurrilous, Impudent, Witch-mongers, ---- which he fo freely bestows; as also that aspersion, which he casts upon the Pious and profoundly Learned Dr. Hammond, [That he is almost every-where guilty of vain Traditional

Pag. 51. Fancie's.

> These are Epithetes, which however they might be pardoned in a Practitioner of Phylick, whom Age and Infirmities may have made froward and waspish, are not so agreeable to his other Character, as a Presbyter of this Church, (ordained long fince by the Right Reverend Dr.

Pag. 275. The. Morton Bishop of Durham) and Curate of Kildwick about the Year 1634, as himself ac-Pag. 277. quaints us, though he wholly baulk his Spiritual Titles in the Front of his Book, as one that glories

rather in another Function.

I do heartily both approve and commend his Piety in acquiescing, as he professeth, in the determinations of holy Scripture, and fully accord with him in what he lays down for the Rule of

proceeding in these Controversies.

The Word of God, faith he, is the most proper anedium, with found Reason, to judge of the power of Spirits and Devils by: And again, That the Scriptures and found Reason are the only true and proper Medium to decide these Controversies by, is most underiably apparent, because God is a Spirit, and the invisible God, and therefore best knows the nature and power of the spiritual and invisible world, and, being the God of truth, can and doth inform us. Nay he is the Father of Spirits, and therefore truly knows, and can and doth teach us their Natures, Offices and Operations .- And again, The Scriptures and sound Reason are the most fit Medium to determine thefe things by.]

Particu-

Ang

teac

that

othe

the

plan

Cri

and

(ev

115

gai

Go

po

mi

is

ac

D

fa

fo

th

th

In

72

d

b

Chap. 4.

P. 49,50.

Particularly he speaks of the Humane Soul,

Angels, and Devils.

espe-

dent,

; as

Pi-

That

owal

hey

lick,

OW-

S 0-

ch,

Dr.

of

ac-

ual

ries

his

de-

ord

of

ber

of

be

nd

is

nd re

d,

ty f-d

18

1. The Word of God, faith he, doth particularly Pa.44,45. teach us the state and condition of Souls after death, that they shall be like the Angels in Heaven, and all other things necessary to move and draw us to believe the immortal existence of Souls. -

2. Hath not God in the holy Scriptures amply and plainly laid down the state of the other world, in describing to us such a numerous Company of Seraphins and Cherubims, Angels and Arch-Angels, with their several Orders, Offices, Ministries, and Employments? -

3. The Scriptures do fully and abundantly inform us of the Devil's spiritual and invisible power, and against the same declare unto us the whole Armour of God, with which we ought to be furnished, as the A-

postle Saith, Ephes. 6.

Now that which I purpose to observe and examine, is chiefly this, how confiftent our Author is to himself; and how well he hath acquitted him, according to these Rules and Measures, in his Discourses of Angels and Spirits. And that so far only, as I apprehend my felf concern'd by fome things which I have afferted and declared in the precedent Treatife.

I have fuggested in the Epistle Dedicatory, that The Denythe general dis-belief of Spirits may well be thought an al of Spi-Introduction to all manner of Irreligion and Profane- rits a frep ness; which brings me in part under that con- to Atheism. demnation, wherein he involves both Dr. Casanbon and Mr. Glanvil; The one for faying, [One prime foundation of Atheism, as by many ancient and Chap. 3: late is observed, being the not belief of Spiritual Be- P. 37. ings-] The other for affirming, [Those that will not bluntly say, there is no God, content themselves for a fair step and introduction, to deny there are Spirits.—] In opposition to whom he asserts, that

Ibid.

P.38, 39. the denying of the Existence of Spirits doth not infer the denying of the Being of God; because God might be without them; and God was before them; and the Sadducees believed a God, allowing of the Books of Moses, &c. as he discourseth more at large.

Now this formal arguing of his, as I conceive, is weak and trifling. For (to fay nothing, that fuch Ethical propositions, as these should not be scann'd over-rigidly, but construed sometimes, cum grano salis, as holding in the model.) However there might be a God, though there were neither Angels nor Devils in rerum natura, yet those that deny in general the being of Spirits, do therein implicitly impugn the being of God, who is a Spirit, whether themselves know and consi-

der it, or no.

And, as some have justified the Truth of that Royal Maxime, [No Bishop, No King] against them who would prove, (in like manner as this Author pleads) that there is no necessary and immediate connexion of the terms, Bishop and King; or no essential dependence of King upon Bishop; because nevertheless they, that have opposed Bishops in the Church, have been generally also against a King in the State; and the same Antimonarchical principle inclines them to oppose both; so may we answer here; and 'tis to be observed, among our modern Atheists and Sadducees especially, that their antipathy and aversation, as to the notion and being of Spirits univerfally, hath carried them on (and naturally doth fo) to the dethroning of God, the Supreme Spirit, and Father of Spirits.

And although, as he farther faith, God had been God, though he had not been Creator; or there might be a God, though there were no Creation.

[Such a God as Epicurus and his Followers, ad vitandam

Ibid,

que who

vita

of his his the

may war amo Bei

thir

this pro Ang white become the

pri wh the der cor

by ou a l

an

ag

vitandam invidiam, acknowledg] yet should not I question to tax that person with real Atheism. who denies a God under that notion, as the doxis ig xwv, the first cause of all things, the Maker and Governor of the World; especially, since the Apostle hath taught us, that The invisible things Rom, 12 of him from the Creation of the World are cleerly feen, 20. being understood by the things that are made, even his Eternal Power and Godhead, so that they Leven the Heathen | are without excuse.

Those persons certainly (suppose we never so charitably, as Salvian faith of the Arrians, that they may bono animo errare) contribute very much towards the countenancing and support of Atheism among men, who banish the belief of Incorporeal Beings out of the World as mere jargon, and a thing, which no man whatever he talks, can pos-

fibly understand.

And though I am far enough from infinuating this Author to be such an one; since he openly professeth his belief of God, the humane Soul, Angels and Devils, and of all the holy Scripture which declareth these things to our faith; and because there are some, who by the goodness of their nature, and prevalence of some better principles, may not be effectually and in practice, what otherwise certain evil tenets would incline them to be: Many are too dull and stupid to understand or consider of the fatal and pernicious consequences of their own Opinions; and others are too vertuously qualified, to be influenced by them; Yet it may not be amiss for him seri- Positions of oully to reflect and weigh within himself, what Mr. W. sa bad use others at least may make of such affer- gainst the tions of his, as these are that follow.

There is no common notion, saith he, of a spiritual Spirit, and and immaterial Being in all or any man. - And again confidently,

Dangerous Idea of a

174

Reflections upon Master Webster's

Ibld.

We affert, that our faculties, or cognitive Powers (how far soever some would magnifie and extol then) have not the power of understanding Beings, that are simply and absolutely immaterial and incorporeal.—And again,

P. 207.

Those, that pretend Angels are merely incorporeal, must needs err, and put force upon their own faculties, which cannot conceive a thing, that is not conti-

nuate and corporeal.

Now if no man have, or can have, the notion of a spiritual and immaterial Being; if our cognitive powers cannot understand it; if our faculties cannot conceive of it; what, I pray, will become of the Being of God in the World, as a Spirit, and the Father of Spirits? How ready is every one to discard, what he cannot frame a notion of, what he cannot possibly conceive or understand? Nay, how should his mind ever entertain, or assent to it? And we must needs infer, upon this supposal, that he who professeth, God is a Spirit, as our Author doth, makes of him only [nomen inane] a bare and empty name, gives him an insignificant attribute, and believes and speaks, he knows not what.

But then farther our Author excepts against

the Idea of God in particular.

P. 201.

God in his own nature being infinite and incomprehensible, there can be no true and adequate notion of him. — And again,

Ibid.

— Much more must the being of God, which is infinite and incomprehensible, which are attributes incommunicable, be utterly inconceivable to any of our faculties.

Let him go now and dispute the case with the Apostle Saint Paul, Rom. 1. 20, 21, & C. That which may be known of God is manifest in them, [even the Gentiles] for God hath shewed unto them, [autois equisquot.] And this to grows or to be we, which he

faith,

fai

Tal

me

heato

log

VII

tib

0

Ita

mo

AI

the

Gof

qui

th

fu.

te

th

CO

an

is

an

W 3'D

01

P

in

ny

rs

ni)

re

nd

al,

el-

i-

n

6-

i-

yf,?

it l,

r

S

t

faith to be pavepor in autois, is no other than the nd dopara dure, The invisible things of God, which I mention'd before, even his eternal power and Godhead; And these too are so far said to be manifested to them, as to leave them without excuse or apology; For not glorifying him as God, Leven the invisible God] but changing the glory of the incorruptible God into an Image made like to corruptible man, &c. - i. e. a corporeal Image. Which, if I mistake not, sufficiently includes incorporeity among the rest in the Idea to be had of him, -And here I call to mind two notable fayings of the Fathers, worthy to be written in Letters of Gold. The one of Saint Cyprian, of the Vanity of Idols, Hac est summa delicti, nolle agnoscere, quemignorare non possis. The other of Lactantius, who is a strenuous Asserter of Religion's being the chief property and distinction of man from the Beasts; Quam sibi veniam sperare possunt impietatis sue, qui non agnoscunt cultum ejus, quem pror-Jus ignorari ab homine fas non est?

This Gentleman should do well to consider better, that it is one thing, to conceive, that there is such a Being, whose perfections we cannot fathom; and another, fully and adequately to comprehend him; one thing to conceive truly, and another to understand adequately; for there is somewhat incomprehensible to us in the nature and essence of all things else, as well as God's, and we may every-where almost write Mystery, and

3 Ω εάθος! and ε καταλαμεάνω.

It is the commendation, I think, of the Idea or Notion of God in our Souls, if it be fuch for perfection, as, had it not been implanted within us, we could scarce collect our felves from any thing without.

But, whatever there be in that, I would glad-

r

t

t

fl fe

B

F

h

0

0 b

n

m

B

T

kn

n

W

A

m

E

C of

fh

CC

pr

ca

G

he

W

qu

gı fr

In c. 21. chirid.

ly know, if this All-perfect, Infinite and Incomprehensible Being, [Πάντων ἀκρότης, as Simplicius Epid. En well stiles him] is utterly unconceivable to any of our faculties, how he or any other comes to believe and affert the Divine Nature to be thus Infinite and Incomprehensible in all Perfections; or, how there can be an obligation upon others to believe and profess, what is utterly unconceiveable.

And now I pass on to what he discourseth of

the Nature of Angels.

Self-Study and reright and ready Method to the Notion of Spirits.

I have endeavour'd in the Treatife of Angels, to give as plain, familiar and useful a description, flection the as I could, of the notion of Spirits, from a ferious reflection made upon our own Soul or Spirit, Ch. 2. Sect. 1. and represented them by such attributes, as I conceive most proper and chara-Eteristical. The Delphick Oracle Trade osautor, which fends us to study our felves, directs us certainly to the readiest course of natural, as well as Moral Philosophy; and the genuine knowledg of the little World of Man is the best preparative for the understanding of the greater, and him, that made both.

P. 199.

Our Author grants, that All substances are known by their properties and Modifications. If then we can find out any fuch properties or attributes, as are no ways agreeable unto matter, we have fufficiently the notion of a Spirit, that is, an immaterial or incorporeal Being. And fuch we may be fatisfied of by inspection made into our selves. -Were there no other but those two powers. we may every one be conscious of; a power, I mean, of reflecting upon our own thoughts, and a power of moving and determining our own wills, as well as bodies; this sauraurofia, if I may fo speak, and auroninoia, or, aure genor, (the root

root and foundation of all morality) is altogether incompetent unto Matter. For where is there any thing of Matter, that can possibly reflect upon its individual felf, or freely move it felf? Those who own nothing in the World but Body, must banish Conscience and subscribe to Fatal necessity, &c. It is confess'd, when we have fum'd up all, that we know but very little of anything; and may have Sense enough of our own Imperfection and Ignorance to keep us humble: Yet, fince we know so little, we had not need to make that little less; and 'tis fufficient, I should think, that we know as much (or rather more) of Spirit, as we do of Body. And of Body our Author himself tells us, over and over; The Intrinsick Nature of Body as such is utterly un- P. 203? known to us. ____ It's Internal Nature, quatenus Corpus, is utterly unknown - and again-We know not the Intrinsick Nature of Body:---And yet but a little before he had faid,—We P. 2001 must with all the whole company of the learned assign Extension to be the True and Genuine Character, or Characteristical Property, as he else-where phraseth it, of Body. — And, if this be yielded, what should reasonably be desired more, when himself confesseth, that All Substances are known by their properties and modifications? as I even now observed.

I will not stay to dispute the point farther, or to examine, whether that wonderful Body, as he calls it, Image or Idolum in a Mirrour or Looking- P. 203, Glass, be as really a Body as any in the Universe, as 204. he affirms. Let him admire and play, as he please,

with his own Shadow.

m

ins

ny

to

lus

IS;

to a-

of

to

n,

1-

h

a-

,,

is II

d

72

1

9

But this I observe, that Contradictions seem fre- wis conquently to lie in his Head together, (if we may both about guess at what was there, by that which drops Body and from his Pen) as if he were really amp of Luxes, spirit.

partaker

Master

partaker of two distinct and contrary Souls, [in another Sense than St. James useth the word, which De anima we English double-minded, ch. 1. 8. or Dr. Willis Brutorum physically defends the thing]. For my part I am C. 7. no ways able to reconcile his account a courage

Thus we find him thwarting of himself, both a-

bout Body and Spirits.

As to Body, besides what I have already noted, he tells us,

P. 205.

P. 255,

256, 80.

- Penetration of Bodies is simply unintelligible and impossible to conceive — as certainly it is. yet we have him afterwards very favourable and vielding to his most admired Helmont's Penetration of Dimensions --- The Arguments, saith he, that he bringeth to prove Penetration of Dimensions to be in Nature, or something equivalent thereunto, seem to be strong and convincing. - There may, it feems, be convincing Arguments with him for what is simply unintelligible and impossible to conceive, or equivalent thereunto.

But then, as to Spirits, which is the subject I am chiefly concern'd about, I fix especially upon his tenth Chapter, and shall make the charge of Contradictions abundantly good, as I passalong in the examining of certain Periods of it, compared with what he there, or elsewhere, offer-

eth dispersedly in his Book.

The humane Soul excluded by him from Angels for three presended reaons. P. 202.

In the handling this point, faith he, of the corporeity or incorporeity of Angels, we do here, once for all, exclude and except forth of our discourse and this disqui- arguments the humane and rational Soul, as not at all sition about to be comprized in these limits. And that especially for these reasons. I. Because the humane Soul had a peculiar kind of Creation, differing from the Creation of other things, as appeareth in the words of the Text, Gen. 2.7. And the Lord God formed man of the Dust of the Ground, and breathed into him the

Breath

Bre

072

ma

Th

16

mir

mæ

beb

77.147

ma

M

Be

3.

of

an

ſif

re

re

th

ar

at

Ca

m

11

f

I

h

is

n

1-

le.

d

d

e

1

Breath of Life, and Man became a living Soul. Upon which the Note of Tremellius and Junius is, Anima vero hominis spiritale quiddam est & Divinum. That note of theirs he gives more at large, Ch. P. 314. 16. Ut clarius appareat discrimen inter animam hominis & reliquorum animantium: horum enim animæ ex eadem materia provenerunt, unde corpora habebant; illius vero anima spiritale quiddam & divi-2. Because I find Solomon, the wifest of men, making this question, Who knoweth the Spirit of Man, that goeth upward; and the Spirit of the Beast, that goeth downward to the Earth? Eccles. 3. 21. 3. Because it is safer to believe the nature of the Soul to be according to the Analogy of Faith, and the concurrent opinion of the Learned, than to sift such a deep question by our weak understanding and realon.

Now it is, to my apprehension, extremely un- This me? reasonable, that in the entrance of this enquiry thed of prothe Soul of Man should be exempted from it; cedure unand feems like the odd practice of cunning men at Law, who fecure fuch as are like to give in a casting evidence against their Cause. For it is manifest enough, that Angels are a fort of Beings superiour unto the humane Soul, as I have shewn in the foregoing Treatise, Ch. 1. Sect. 2.

If then it be apparent and undeniable, (as I shall make good anon from this Author's concessions) that the Soul of Man is truly incorporeal; the conviction and evidence from hence, as to Angels, will be as great as can be defired; to which purpose I have also reasoned, Ch. 2. Sect. 1.

And, if it be certainly true, that we can conceive such a spiritual Being, as the humane Soul is granted by him to be; it will then be utterly false, that an immaterial Being is utterly unconceiveable by us, as he afferts.

p. 206.

Master W.

Imagination and In-

which elle-

where he

to diffin-

guish.

P. 201.

confounds

sellect.

I have quoted this faying from him already, but shall take occasion once more to repete it, together with the proof, fuch as it is, which he tenders for it.

ti

(

1

1

Those that pretend, faith he, that Angels are P. 207. meerly incorporeal, must needs err, and put force upon their own faculties, which cannot conceive a thing, that is not continuate and corporeal.

Now this conclusion or inference of his he grounds upon a School-Maxime, as he tells us,

thus,

Imaginatio non transcendit continuum. And this, faith he, if we perpend it seriously, is a most certain and transcendent truth, for when we come to cogitate and conceive of a thing, we cannot apprehend it otherwife, than as continuate and corporeal.

In which discourse he grosly confounds Imagination and Intellect together, as if they were one and the fame thing; and we could not cogitate, apprehend and conceive that at all, which we cannot imagine or draw a Picture of in our phansie. An affertion, which argues somewhat knew well of a stupified understanding. He himself hath else-where better distinguished, It is one thing, faith he, truly to understand, and another thing to imagine or fancie. And he had learn't as much, as he tells us, had he but seriously perpended it here, from the learned Doctor Willis, De anima Brutorum, in these words which he cites with commendation out of him.

p. 317. Ibid.

- Intellect and Imagination are not wont to agree in many things .-] And again, In man there is a double cognitive power, to wit, the Intellect and Imagination. So there is a double appetite, the Will proceeding from the Intellect, which is the Page or Servant of the rational Soul, and the sensitive appetite, which cohereing to the Imagination is said to be the Hands,

Hands, or Procuratrix, of the corporeal Soul.

dy,

It,

he

are

epon

mg,

he

us,

us,

ain

ate

er-

gi-

ne

e,

ve

ır

at

h

0

1,

t

AZ

1

0

Imagination then is a fensitive and corporeal faculty, and therefore no wonder, if it cannot transcendere continuum; but Understanding or Intellect a rational and incorporcal power, and therefore able to conceive and apprehend things like it-sclf. The Objects as well as Acts, of the one and other, are vastly different. Though the neer and intimate union of our Souls to thefe Podies of Earth wherein they dwell, makes it difficult for us to abstract our thoughts altogether from fensible and corporeal Images - In quo De Nat. nihil est difficilius, quam à consuetudine oculorum aciem Deorum, mentis abducere, as Balbus in Cicero hath it. Yet, 1. 2. difficult though it be, 'tis not impossible, but the dayly experience of contemplative minds. Every faculty is concern'd in its proper object, and to be imployed about it: The Eye for feeing, the Ear for hearing; the Palate for tasting, &c. So among the external fenses. And so in like manner it is with the internal powers: The Fansie is for imagining, and the Intellect for abstract thinking or conceiving, even what we cannot imagin; Metaphyfical, Logical, Moral Univerfal Verities, rationes veri & falsi, boni & mali, God and Divine things, — &c. We may as well tafte Light and Colours, and fee Sounds, as imagine a Spirit; but yet for all that we may think and conceive of it. I will dismiss this with the words of Max. Tyrius, ves vonta ofa, no vontav Differt. 1. वंश्रंस · में रीवर्ण्डम एवा प्रेंग वंश्यामर्थे, विन्य एवंड वंश्यानिक्छड़.

And now I will shew, as I promised, that our Master W. Author had some notion of an incorporeal Be- afferts the ing, because he plainly and often asserts the rea- reity of the fonable or humane Soul to be fuch.

The Rational and immortal Soul he owns ex- Soul. presly to be a Spirit, quoting that of our blessed Saviour

Reflections upon Master Webster's

182 P. 314.

Saviour for it, Father into thy hands I commend my Spirit. — An incorporeal substance, and therefore

p. 315.

immortal, saith he out of Gassendus. And so he expounds that Text of Saint Paul, 1 Thes. 5. 23.

p. 316.

which makes the whole of man to consist in Spirit, Soul and Body. The Spirit, that is, saith he, the rational mind. And he well approves of Doctor

p. 317.

Willis his arguments and proofs for two distinct Souls in man, The one sensitive and corporeal, and the other rational and incorporeal.

p. 318.

Nay, faith he, The Soul by the unanimous confent of all men is a spiritual and pure, immaterial and

incorporeal substance. A

It is manifest by divine Authority, that the Spirit, that is, the rational immortal and incorporeal Soul, doth return to God, and exist eternally. — And again,

p. 320.

Ibid.

It is most evident, that there are not only three essential and distinct parts in man, as the gross Body consisting of Earth and Water, which at Death returns to Earth again; the sensitive and corporeal Soul or Astral Spirit, (as he calls it consisting of Fire and Air, that at death wandreth in the Air, or neer the Body; and the immortal and incorporeal Soul, that immediately returns to God, that gave it; But also, that after death they all three exist separately; the Soul in immortality, and the Body in the Earth, though soon consuming, and the Astral Spirit wandring in the Air, and without doubt doth make these strange Apparitions and Bleedings.—

We have then here a notion, a manifest and most evident notion, and that, as he saith, by the universal consent of all Men, as well as Divine Authority, of a spiritual and pure, immaterial and incorporeal substance, and that existing separately and by it self in immortality, which is the thing he said our faculties cannot conceive

of.

And

wa

fol

01

CH

ot

G

d

b

1

I

of his three

And this, I suppose, whatever is pretended, was the principal inducement to his excepting so sollicitously the humane and rational Soul from his intended discourse of the corporeity of Angels.

But we will view his three Reasons, alledged An Exafor this Exception, more distinctly, as they lie in mination

order.

my

ore

he

23.

rit,

the

or

ict

nd

n-

nd

it,

ıl,

1,

ly

-

r

,

2

2

First, saith he, because the humane Soul had a pecultar kind of Creation differing from the Creation of idg the huother things, as appeareth in the words of the Text, mane Soul
Gen. 2.7. And the Lord God formed man of the from this
dust of the ground, and breathed into him the Ot his
breath of Life, and Man became a living Soul. first ReaUpon which the Note of Tremellius and Junius is, son.
Anima verò hominis spiritale quiddam est & divinum.
Or more at large, as he cites it, p. 314. Thus in
English, That the difference between Man and other
Animals might appear more clearly: for the Souls
of these came out of the same matter, from whence they
had their Bodies, but his Soul was a certain Spiritual
and Divine thing.

Now it is evident, upon first sight, that Tremellius and Junius here [for I take his word for the Quotation, not meeting with it in their Notes on the place] did not intend to lay down any difference between the creation of the Soul of Man, and of Angels, [which alone would serve his purpose] but of Man and other Animals only, produced out of matter. And therefore this could not be a reason for excepting the humane Soul

from the dispute of Angels.

But yet it may be worth the while to stay a little upon the Text referr'd to, for our better acquaintance with our selves, and so a greater preparedness for the conception of material and

immortal substances.

The

T A fhort Comment upon Gen. 2. 7. concerning riginal.

The Lord God, faith the Text, formed man of the dust of the ground, and breathed into his Nostrils the breath of life, and man became a living Soul. His Body made of Earth, but his Soulthe Breath Man's O- of God.

Fol

he,

gir

thi

the

tri

10

21

b

d

11

1

Horat.

Divina particula Aura.

We must not understand it grossy; for so Breath is not attributable unto God, who is a fimple and perfect Spirit; but, Θεοπηεπώς, as a figurative expression of God's communicating unto Man that inward Principle, whereby he lives and acts, not only in common with, but in a degree above other Animals. Vatablus therefore. renders it by [injecerat, sive immiserat] He put or conveyed into his body a vital Spirit. And so Junius and Tremellius, in their Notes upon the place tell us, [humanitus dictum, pro eo, quodex virtute sui aterni spiritus, &c.] It is spoken after the manner of men; and the meaning is this, that by vertue of his Eternal Spirit, without any Elementary matter, he inspired a Vital Soul (which is by nature a simple form) into that Elementary Body, that it might use as an Instrument. And Man became a living Soul] that is, say they, [quum virtute Dei fuit anima corpori adunata in unitatem personæ, &c. 7 when by the power of God the Soul was thus united to the body in one person, the Earthy Statue became indued with life, and was reckoned a principal species of Animals .-To a like purpose saith Clarius, The Souls of other living Creatures were [de materià eductæ] brought forth of matter. Gen. 1. 20, 21. Let the waters bring forth the moving Creature, that hath life, and let the Earth bring forth the living Creature after his kind. But the Soul of Man was [for is inspirata] from God immediately. And thus much 70b

fob also acknowledgeth; The Spirit of God, saith he, hath made me, and the breath of the Almighty hath given me life,——Ch. 33.4.

The Learned P. Fagius takes notice of three things in the Text of Moses, which do conclude

the Immortality of the Soul of Man.

he

th

o

e.

I. Infufflatio illa Dei.] This Inspiration from God spoken of: For he that breaths into another, contributes unto him [aliquid de suo] somewhat of his own: And therefore, saith he, when our B. Saviour would communicate his Spirit to his Disciples, he did it with Insufflation, breathing on them, thereby to signifie, se Divinum & de suo quiddam illis contribuere.

II. The Original word Nischmath, which we render Breath, or Spirit, derived from שמי Heaven,

imports somewhat Divine and Celestial.

III. The word Hajim added to it, sounds plurally [spiraculum vitarum] the breath of lives. [Non-simpliciter vitam, sed longævam significat] a long and continuing life; or, as some will have it, being of the dual number, [præsentis & futuri sæculi vitam] the life of this and the other world: Or, if I may add a farther conjecture, both the rational and sensitive life.

What is here declared by Moses of Man's Origination, was notably emblem'd out in the Fable of Prometheus, which is by interpretation Providence: where the Body is said to have been [è molli luto] of soft and yielding Clay. [And such we must suppose the dust of the Earth in Genesis, Earth temper'd and prepared with moisture, è pulvere sub. jam macerato ac temperato imbre qui deciderat. q. d. ex massà quadam terra madesattà, as Vatablus hath it] but the Soul [ignis de Calo] a fire or spark taken from Heaven.

And agreeable to this first Production of Man

is the description which Solomon gives us of his dissolution, Eccles. 12.7. [whereof I have spoken in the foregoing Treatise, comparing it with Phocylides and Lucretius, Ch. 11. §. 1.] from whence we learn, saith Drussus, how far this wise-man was from their Heresie, who think that the Soul of man is mortal, and doth und cum corpore interire, perish with the body. A Note I shall have occasion to make farther use of by and by. And Elihu in the Book of Job phraseth man's dissolution much like Solomon, If he [i. e. God] gather unto himself his spirit and breath, all slesh shall perish together, and man shall turn again to his dust.—But enough of this digression.

Of his fecond Reason.

I proceed to our Author's second Reason: (2) saith he, because I find Solomon, the wisest man, making this Question, Who knoweth the spirit of man that goeth upward, and the spirit of the beast that goeth downward to the Earth? Eccles. 3.21.

How well now doth this second Reason hit and accord with the first! There he told us, from funius and Tremellius, the plain distinction between the spirit of man, and the souls of other Animals, as a more Divine Being; and here he starts forthwith upon it a sceptical doubt or question out of Ecclesiastes, that seems plainly to confound both together: And he sets it off too with the commendation of Solomon's Eximious Wisdom; as if he had given us in it the inward sense of his own wisely-searching mind. We had need of good assurance of our Authors right belief in this matter, to construe his meaning in this alledgment.

It were feasonable here to immind him of his own faying in another case. [It is a very froward and perverse way of arguing, to make one place of Scripture

P. 105.

to

H

n

to clash with another.] And to bring into his memory one of his Rules for the interpretation of H. Scripture. [That there be a due comparing of the P. 137. Antecedents and Consequents in the Context; that the purpose, scope, theme, arguments, disposition and method may be perfectly and maturely considered; otherwife by the slighting or omitting any one of these particular points, the whole place may be mistaken, and an errour easily fallen into.]

nis

en

th

m

nis

at

m

I

nd 's

ell

of

t

Turpe est doctori .-

According to this good Rule therefore I will endeavour an Explication of this Text of Solomon's, which the Friends of Atheism, Epicurism, and Profaneness are fond enough of, and our Author, it feems, leaves them to chew the Cud upon. The entire period runs thus:

[I said in my heart, concerning the state of the Sons An Exof men, that God might manifest them, and that they plication might see that they themselves are beasts: For that and Vinwhich befalleth the sons of men, befalleth the beasts; dication even one thing befalleth them. As the one dieth, so dieth the other; yea, they have all one breath; so that a from the man hath no preheminence above a beast, for all is va Atheistinity. All go unto one place: All are of the dust, and cal and all turn to dust again. Who knoweth the spirit of man that goeth upward, and the spirit of the beast that goeth downward to the Earth? ———

These words now, at the reading of them, may be thought by some to herd Man absolutely, as a Fellow-commoner, among the Beafts. But if we duly consider them, together with the Context, and the feveral constructions which they admit of otherwise, we shall be able to satisfie our selves and others to the contrary.

The wife Solomon, in the Verses immediately prece-

of Eccle .

precedent to this discourse, rationally infers a future Judgment of God from the irregularities and diforders apparent in Humane Judicatories. Vers. 16, 17. I saw under the Sun the place of Judgment, that wickedness was there; and the place of Righteousness, that iniquity was there. I said in my heart, God shall judge the righteous and the wicked: For there is a time there for every purpose, and for every work. Now what can be more directly cross and destructive to this Pious Inference of a Judgment to come, which shall rectifie and set streight the enormities of Earthly Tribunals, than an Opinion, that Men are as the Beafts, and fo are not accountable for what they do, or end their accounts with this present life; and therefore need not at all trouble themselves with the forethoughts and fears, because they are not in a capacity of being call'd to a future reckoning: What I fay can be more contradictory to his Religious scope and purpose than this? — Some other fense then we must of necessity fix upon.

Junius and Tremellius (whom I the rather mention for our Author's fake) tell us, that the Wife Man having before express'd a true account and judgment upon those oppressions, confusions and diforders which he had observed under the Sun, doth here subjoyn [judicium ex sensu carnis profe-Etum] another-guise sentence or opinion arising from Carnal Sense: And this whole period, fay they, is [Narratio carnalis disceptationis ac judicii] a Declaration of Carnal Reason only in the case. Thus therefore they read the words [Dixeram ego cum animo meo secundum rationem humanam- 7 I Said with my heart, according to humane reasoning thus and thus.——And then of the 21 Vers. particularly they add, [Ironica confutatio, quâ utitur caro adversus piam doctrinam de differentia inter ani-

المالية

sa

cles

les. dg-

of

my d:

· e-

oss

g-

ht

D-

re

ir

re

e-

1-

e

l

mas, & eventu ex morte It is an Ironical or Mockconfutation, which the Flesh nseth against the pious Doctrine of the difference between Souls, and that which follows upon death. q. d. I hear I know not what whisper'd of the substance of Man's Soul, that it is heavenly, and that it goes to Heaven at death: And on the other side, that the soul of beasts is a certain Earthy faculty, so adhering unto body, that it cannot be separated without it's own destruction. But who, I wonder, hath seen the one or other, either or both of these? It is a more certain course therefore to pass a judgment of both from those common facts and events which are before our eyes. - Thus far they.

And this also is the periwasion of Munster, that these things are here spoken [fecundum stultam opinionem pecuinorum hominum] according to the foolish opinion of bruitish men, who conceit that the whole Man doth perish by death, as other Animals, and therefore repute it the chiefest happiness to in immy/e themselves in all voluptuousness, while they live, feeking their portion in this life only: To which purpose also it follows immediately, by way of inference, Vers. 22. Wherefore I perceive that there is nothing better, than that aman should rejoyce in his own works, for that is his portion; for who shall bring him to see what shall be after him?

As the Apostle reasons in behalf of a future state- I Cor. 15. 30, 32. Why stand we in jeopardy every hour? &c. Let us eat and drink, for to morrow we die. The right Epicurean reasoning here in Ecclesiastes, Ede, bibe, lude, post mortem nulla voluptas.—But S. Paul adds a peculiar Caution against it, as dangerous kind of talk, whatever wisdom some think in it, Vers. 33. Be not deceived, faith he, evil communications corrupt good manners.

The Learned Grotius too gives us in effect a like

6 17

6 V

"t

4 F

(r

6 f

CC

W

71

Ir

tl

b

S

h

b

I

like gloss upon this period. [Contra illam cogitationem de judicio futuri avi, de guâ Sermo pracejsit, alia mihi cogitatio suborta est, &c.] Against that meditation of judgment in the world to come, of which the words before made mention, another thought rose in my mind, that God doth permit men thus to live together, [ferino more] in the manner of beafts, thereby the better to declare and shew, that men are as the beasts. And to this thought in his mind, Saith Grotius, he adds it's Arguments. -- But then on the 21 Vers. he paraphraseth thus; Who knoweth the spirit of man that goeth upward?] whether it abide and remain as a thing Celestial? And the spirit of the beast that goeth downward to the Earth?] whether it perish as the body that is laid under ground? - And his Note upon it is, That Man by his meer Natural Reason [sola nativa ratione | hath no evident certainty about this matter; and the doubts, faith he, of Socrates, Tully, and Seneca, shew as much. They had not, I confess, the compleat assurance vouchsafed us by the help of a Diviner Revelation, which hath brought Life and Immortality to light: But yet we find in them, even in their state of darkness, such strength of Reason and Argument sometimes urged, that might well lay the Foundation of a greater confidence than at other times they discovered. And Simplicius, as I remember, acquaints us, that Socrates spent the time immediately before his death [the feafon of greatest Tryal] in discoursing strenuously of the Immortality of the Soul, and recommending a Philosophical preparation for another life.

Watablus lets us understand, that some read the Words thus. [& Estimavi autem in animo meo conditionem hominum, &c.] 'I have weighed in my 'mind the condition of Men, how God made them 'most

'most excellent, and yet they may seem, or one 'would think that saw them, that they are Beasts 'to themselves, in their own Judgment, as the 'Beasts, q. d. so great Ignorance nevertheless doth 'rule in Mens Hearts that they seem not to differ 'from the Beasts.

4

1-

2/2

of

ht

to

5,

re

th

n

V-

e-

d

0

is

S,

râ

s,

p

1,

E

1-

d

1-

h

-

That therefore of the Psalmist is by some accommodated to this place, Man being in Honour without understanding becometh like the Beasts that perish. Now therefore, though he was made to be Immortal, he is excused no more from Death, than other Creatures. Drusius.—And so possibly, when the Wise-man saith, Who knoweth the Spirit of Man, that goeth upward, &c. By Spirit here may be meant [avanvoin, aura vitalis, aer spirabilis,] the Vital Breath, in which sense we say [Spiritum accipere & reddere.] And this Spirit or Breath may be said to go [upward or downward] according to the different positure of the Body of Man and Beast, the one with his countenance erect, the other inclined to the Earth.

Pronaque cum spectant animalia catera terram, Os homini sublime dedit, cœlumque tueri, &c.

Ovid. Met.

But if we take Spirit here for the Soul it self, we may render [Quis novit?] with Drusius, by [pauci noverunt] or, with Clarius, [Quam rarus est, qui interim id novit?] 'How few know the difference between the Spirit of Man and that of the Beast? As, when the same Wiseman saith essewhere, A vertuous woman who can find? his meaning is not, that such an one is not at all to be found, but [rara est inventu] she is hard to be found; as the good and wise have been in all ages—— rari nantes in gurgite vasto. So here, [tantum sciunt sapientes, & qui ab illes didicerunt,] none

'none but the wise and such as have learn't of them ken the difference. Or rather thus, [Quis novit?] Scilicet eventis communibus? nam inde discerni nequit spiritus hominis à spiritu bestiarum.] 'Who that looks only upon common events; 'who, that keeps only to the visible effects, ordinarily taken notice of at the death of either, 'can understand the difference?

And yet notwithstanding all this a wide difference there is. When Man's breath goeth forth and he giveth up the Ghost, his Soul or Spirit doth undeniably return unto God that gave it; as this Wiseman plainly afferts afterwards, Cb. 12. 7. to God to be judged, [utità se terwards, Heb. 9. 27.] And such a judgment he had spoken of immediately before this period, Ver. 17. which could not possibly be, if Man died, as the Beasts, and his Soul perished with his Body.

So that by the help of our Author's wholsome rule, comparing the words of Solomon with their Antecedents and Consequents, we may be able to vindicate this wisest of men from an imputation of siding with sensual Fools and Epicures in the matter before us. And the Rule prescribed hath this real commendation, that it hath long since been given. Qui non advertit quod suprà & infrà est in sacris libris, pervertit verba Dei viven-

To conclude this subject. It is lively represented to us in the second Chapter of the Book of Wisdome as the speech of the wicked and unwise.— 'The ungodly said, reasoning with themselves, [as we have found it in Solomon] but not aright. Our life is short and tedious, and in the death of man there is no remedy.— For we are born at all adventure, and we shall be hereafter as though we had never been: For the breath

of

uis

nde

n.]

ts;

di-

er,

fe-

th

rit

as

2. b.

of

ch

S,

le

ir

0

n

e

breath in our Nostrils is a smoak, and a little 's fpark in the moving of our heart, which being extinguished, our body shall be turned into ashes, and our spirit shall vanish as the soft air. 'Come on therefore, let us enjoy the good things that are present—[These are our only portion Let us oppress the poor righteous man-Let our strength be the Law of Justice, &c. Such things they did imagine, and were deceived, for their own wickedness hath blinded them, Verf. 21.——And then in the next Chapter he speaks excellently of the happiness of good and godly men. 'The fouls of the righteous are in the hand of God; [Father, into thy hands I 'commend my fpirit] and there shall no torment touch them. In the fight of the unwife they ' seemed to die, and their departure is taken for 'misery, and their going from us to be utter deftruction: But they are in peace; for though they be punished in the fight of men, yet is their hope full of Immortality.

I have taken all this pains to shew, that the wifest of men was not of the same opinion with these unwise and ungodly ones, but that he did act, or rather say their part only, and sub aliena persona loqui, without any design to assert or con-

firm what he most fully confutes.

And now I see not from hence any shew of Reason, why our Author should except the Rational Soul or Spirit from his enquiry into the Nature of Angels.

I pass on therefore to his third Reason, and will be briefer in all that remains, lest my discourse

fwell beyond the bounds I intended it.

'3. Saith he, because it is safer to believe the 'Nature of the Soul to be according to the Analogy of Faith, and the concurrent opinion of the

Of his third Reafon. 'the Learned, than to fift fuch a deep Question

by our weak understanding and reason.

Theol. Polit.c.14 & 15.

I hope he is not of the opinion of Atheo-Pol. that Theology and Reason have two distinct 'and separate Kingdoms, between which there is 'no commerce or affinity; viz. Reason, the King-'dom of Truth, and Sapience; Theology, of Piety 'and Obedience only; and accordingly, that our Faith requires not vera, sed pia dogmata. - But I rather constre this as an expression of his tenderness and modesty only.

And yet, as deep a Question as this is, he tells us elsewhere, The unanimous consent of all men (which is more than the concurrent opinion of the Learned) hath agreed it, as I shewed before; whatever become of Solomon's Who knoweth? in

the precedent Reason.

And we find him not fo over-shy, as here he would feem, of fifting fome Questions of as deep Philosophy to the full as this; fuch as that, towards the close of his Book, of the Astral Spirit, and the Efficacy of Charms by Astral Influences, O.C.

But is it in good earnest, a deeper enquiry to look into the nature of our own spirit, which we are most privy to, [For who knoweth the things of a man, but the spirit of man which is in him?] than to fearch into the Nature of Angelical Spirits without us? Is not that Candle of the Lord, our weak Understanding and Reason, more like to discover somewhat within doors, than to administer any steady light abroad, where the stronger winds of uncertainty and opposition puff and blow about it?

Or lastly, Is there not as much of the Analogy of Faith, and the concurrent opinion of the Learned about the Angels, as about the Humane Soul?

p. 318.

I conclude therefore from the Premises, that there was no reason at all why he should thus, once for all, exclude and except forth the Humane and Rational Soul, as not to be comprized in the fame limits with Angelical Spirits, unless this only, that it was like to prove unferviceable to his Cause, nay an irreconcileable Enemy to it.

And fo I come at length more directly to reflect upon what he discourseth of the Nature of Angels; which yet I should not at all have concern'd my felf with, were not his Arguments levell'd against their Incorporeity, as a thing utterly inconceiveable, which we can in no wife understand: or, if they proved no more but this, that Angels have certain Vehicles or Bodies joyned to them, as the Humane Soul hath, though of a more noble and refined fort; to which purpose I have also granted somewhat in the precedent Treatise, this En. Ch. 11. S. 1.

But he feems to me confused in his own understanding about them, and therefore he shuffles, or blunders, in the stating of this Question; making it all one to prove, that Angels are Corporeal, and that they have Bodies or Vehicles joyned to them; whereas there is an apparent difference between these two, and the one may fecurely enough be granted, as by many it is, where the other is yet denied. Take his own words.

'As much, faith he, as we contend for, is p. 2071 granted by Dr. More, in these words; [For I look upon Angels to be as truly a Compound Being, confifting of Soul and Body, as that of 'Men and Brutes ___ And therefore, faith he, they must needs have an Internum and Externum, Ibid; as the Learned and Christian Philosopher Doctor Fludd doth affirm, in these words: Certum est igition

Mr. W's Speculations about the Corpos reity of Angels, and hors he blunders in the flating of quiry.

tur inesse ipsis (sc. Angelis) aliud quod agit, aliud autem quod patitur; nec verò illud, secundum quod agunt, alind quam actus effe poterit, qui forma dicitur; neque etiam illud, secundum quod patiuntur, est quicquam prater potentiam, bac autem materia appellatur.

So much the less reason still, say I, to exclude the Humane Soul from this Enquiry: But if this were all, he needed not to have taken fo much pains about it, being done to his hands; or he might have spared at least those arguments, which prove somewhat more, if they prove any thing. He might have kept those Arrows by him, which are shot besides and beyond this Mark.

['These Arguments do sufficiently and evidently prove, that Angels are either Corporeal, or have Bodies united to them, which is all one to our purpose, whether way soever it be ta-

ken. 7 And again,

['We have sufficiently proved, faith he, that they are corporeal, that is, that they have Bo-'dies naturally united to them; and so have an Internum, or moving power, and an Externum, or a part moved.

To me therefore he feems to hide himself only, and darken the business by those terms of simply and absolutely incorporeal, which are so usual with him, and the only retreat he hath, upon oc-

casion, to betake himself unto.

To be short, that which I fearch after, is the Internum in Angels, or pars movens, or actus, or fent Con- forma, illud quod agit, in Dr. Flud's Philosophy, or the spiritual part of these compound Beings, or whatever Name he please to call it by, what that is: And if we can once find out that, as we have already the Humane Soul, incorporeal and capable of felf-fubfifting, what will become of that which he affirms fo dogmatically, that our Facul-SAIS

Ibid.

.

p. 212

The Critical point of the pretroversie.

ties cannot conceive of an incorporeal Being? But now let us fee the scuffle, and how demon-

stratively he lays about him.

r.

1. 'Saith he, we lay it down for a most cer- p 202' 'tain and granted truth, that God simply and 'absolutely is only a most simple Spirit, in whom there is no corporeity, nor composition at all: And, what other things foever, are call'd or accounted Spirits, are but so in a relative and respective consideration, and not in a simple and absolute acceptation. And this is the unani-'mous tenent of Fathers, School-men, and all other Orthodox Divines, agreeing with the plain and clear words of Scripture, as, God is a Spi- John 4.24. rit, and they that worship him must worship him in spirit

and truth. And again, Now the Lord is that Spirit. - 2 Cor. 3.

That God is a Spirit, (whatever some dispute) 17. is, I grant, affirmed in holy Scripture; and, that God a most he is the most simple and excellent Spirit, I as simple and readily believe. But it is no-where in our Bible absolute faid, that God is the only Spirit; or that there spirit, but are no other Spirits, but God. In the very fame yet not the verse which afferts God to be a Spirit was also only Spirit. verse, which afferts God to be a Spirit, we also are allowed a Spirit too, to ferve and worship And, if we once take the liberty to turn all other Spirits, so call'd, into Bodies; I doubt the Incorporeity of the Godhead will be hardly defensible by it self: Because, though he be never fo plainly and clearly named a Spirit in facred Writ, yet, for all that, according to our Author's reasoning, he may be really corporeal, fince other Beings, that are also stiled Spirits there, are avouched fo to be.

But in truth a corporeal Deity, is a dull and strange idea of that omniperfect Being; and the very next step unto down-right Atheism, or the denial of him. For 'then he should be di-

0 3

vifible,

C. 4.

visible, as our Author rightly notes, which he p. 207.

'is not, nor can be, &c.

Trevua Well, it is generally agreed among us, that God is a Spirit, a true Spirit, and the most per-Deds unmagtes fect Spirit, and fo absolutely of himself, necessa-Nonnus in rily-existent, increate, and independent; and S. Fohn 4. most simply and purely such, without all manner of composition, so much as that Metaphy-

Zanch. de sical one of Actus & Potentia, allowed by the oper. Dei Schools to Angels, being immutable.

par. 1. 1.2.

It follows then from hence, [Si Deus est animus —] that we are able to conceive and frame a Notion of a most simple and pure Spirit, wherein there is no corporeity: For, otherwise, (as I have before mentioned) we affirm of God, we know not what; and that, which, for ought we understand, might be as well denied, as affirmed of him. But then, that there are no created and dependent Spirits properly so called, no incorporeal Beings in the Universe besides in a simple acceptation, but only fo accounted in a relative and respective consideration, hath no evidence at all from hence.

D. 202.

2. 'Therefore, faith he, we shall lay down this following proposition, that Angels, being created Substances, are not simply and abso-· lutely incorporeal; but if they be by any called or accounted Spirits, can but be in a Relative 'and respective sense, but that really and truly they are corporeal. And this we shall labour to make good, not only by shewing the absurdities of 'that Opinion of their being simply spiritual, but by laying open the Unintelligibility of that Opinion-That Angels are not, cannot be fuch Spirits in

perfection as God is, every one will grant: But are

they not therefore truly Spirits? Doth not Ho-

ly Scripture plainly and clearly call them Spirits,

Angels are mot fuch Spiritsin perfection as God is, and yet truly Spi-TILS.

as

e

at

1-

d

1-

7-

e

i-

e

ť,

,

t

- 0

as well as it doth God? Are they not all ministring Spirits? Is not Angel and Spirit equivalent there? as I have noted in the foregoing Treatife, Ch. 1. Sect. 1.— Or dare he presume to limit the Almighty? And say of the omnipotent God, to whom all things are possible, that he cannot create a truly incorporeal, as well as a corporeal substance? Is the one more unintelligible to us, than the other? Are all created substances therefore of necessity corporeal?— How is God then the Father of Spirits? How is the Soul of Man [a created substance for certain, inspired by God] yet a pure, immaterial, and incorporeal Spirit, as hath been plentifully acknowledged? Nay, what will become of the internum & actus of Angels too?—

He himself, how consonantly to his own argu-Master Wings I cannot tell, doth else-where seem to assert asserts Dethe Devils or Evil Angels to be wholly or merely wils more spiritual, in opposition to corporeal. The Scrip-spiritual than he altures, saith he, do fully and abundantly inform us lows other of the Devil's spiritual and invisible power.

Angels.

'It is a spiritual, not a carnal, corporeal, or p. 47, 48. bodily armour, because the warfare is not a-49. Ibid. 'gainst Flesh and Blood, but against spiritual wickedness in high places. Against spiritual Enemies, not against corporeal and carnal ones.

'For as the Enemies are, and the Warfare, so are the Armor and Weapons. — 'Satan and his

fpiritual Army. — 'No other kind of af Ibid. faults but merely spiritual.

Must not these Enemies now spoken of, the Devils, be concluded merely spiritual, if they are as their Assaults? Or, if our spiritual Weapons of Truth, and Faith, and Hope, &c. are suitable

to their nature? Or, will he at last change these into Bodies too? —

And if the Evil Angels are merely spiritual,

why should the Good here be corporeal? The only reason, I think, of his inconstancy is zeal and eagerness to serve his present Hypothesis. There he was to oppose the tenet of a corporeal league with the Devil, &c. Here he is to defend that All created fubstances are corporeal. — But really he is concern'd, as much as any man, to folve or confute his own Arguments.

I will only touch upon the principal of them, wherein his greatest strength and considence lies, and fuggest Responsions, (if I may borrow that word fo frequent in his Book) as I pass along.

His mighty arguments against the incorporeity of Angels examined. P. 207.

'If the Angelical nature, faith he, were simply 'and absolutely spiritual and incorporeal, then they would be of the same essential Identity with God, which is simply impossible. For the An-'gels were not created forth of any part of God's Essence; for then he should be divisible, which 'he is not nor can be, his Essence being Simplicity, 'Unity, and Identity it felf; and therefore the Angels must of necessity be of an Essence of Al-'terity, and different from the Essence of God.—

This is fuch a piece of fublime Gibberish, as might tempt one to return back the Epithet, which he bestows upon Suarius, (as he calls him) 'The

great Weaver of fruitless Cobwebs.

At this rate of arguing, like a Metaphylical Mountebank, he might prove every creature, as well as Angels, to be God, and of the same essential Identity with God; because every creature partakes of some real excellency or other communicated from God; and all excellencies, as well as Incorporeity, [unum, verum, bonum,] are of and in God; and all that is in God, is God. — The Soul of Man, doubtless, was breathed in by God, and in a peculiar manner after the Image of God, according to the holy Scriptures; and the Spirits

p. 205.

Spirits of Just men made perfect are partakers of a Divine Nature; and Angels there too are the Sons of God, who is, as hath been often remembred, the Father of Spirits. But will any one therefore be so mad as to say, These have God's Essential Identity, as he phraseth it, or no alterity to distinguish them from the Essence of God? Do not uncreate and created, infinite and finite, independent and dependent, &c. set these Spirits and the Father of them far enough as sunder? or is eternal and necessary existence an Essential Attribute of the idea of Spirit?——

This then is too weak and fandy a Foundation to support that Fabrick which he builds upon it, that [If men will trust their own cogitations p. 207. and faculties rightly disposed, and not vitiated, then they must believe that Angels are corporeal, and not meerly and simply spirits, for ab-

'folutely nothing is fo but God only.]

Again, faith he; 'If Angels be simply incorporeal, then they can cause no Physical or local motion at all; because nothing can be moved but by Contact, and that must be immediate or vertual Contact; for the Maxim is certain, Quicquid agit, agit vel mediatione suppositi, as when one's hand doth immediately touch a thing, and so move it, vel mediatione virtutis, as when a man with a Rod or Line doth draw a thing forth of water. Both of these do require a corporeal Contact.—But what is absolutely incorporeal hath no superficies, &c.

And this is an argument he feems to triumph in, as a Mathematician in his evenue: Therefore is he pleased so much to repeat it: For so he had

faid before;

on-

ind

gue

All

lly

Or

m,

es,

at

ly

en

th

nl's

ch

y,

le

1-

IS

h

e

I

1

C' If the Devil be consider'd as an incorporeal Nature, simply and absolutely; then it will follow,

f

17

r

'low, that he cannot act upon any corporeal matter; because an incorporeal substance can 'make no contact upon a body, unless it were it 'felf corporeal. For quicquid agit, agit per contactum, vel mediatum, vel immediatum; but both 'these are caused by the touch of one body upon 'another.——But that which is meerly incorpo-'real, can perform neither.] And again;

P. 148. [I take it, faith he, to be one of the most firm Maxims that ever the Schools had, that immateriale non agit in materiale, nisi eminenter, ut Dens.]

Rales and Now the Leading Mistake in all this Philophi-Laws of Zing, is the inept applying of the Rules and Bodies in-Laws, proper and peculiar unto Bodies, unto Spieply aprirs also

eptly applied to rits also.

Tangere enim & tangi, nisi corpus, nulla potest res.

As before we observed his confounding of Imagination and Intellect. - And indeed he feems to allow of no mental notions or apprehenfions, which do not first strike upon the senses. And this is that which makes him place the Idea of spirits or incorporeal beings among the unintelligibles. [The Substance of a created Spirit, conceived as immaterial and incorporeal, must of necessity be utterly inconceiveable to any of our faculties:] Elegant! conceived as immaterial and incorporeal, and yet at the same time utterly inconceiveable. - But passing that, hear we his reason: [Because it hath no effects, operations, or modifications, that can or do operate upon our senses] This is gratis dictum. But the general importance of it relies upon another School-Maxim, which I wonder that he forgets to quote to us. [Nihil est in intellectu, quod non priùs fuerat in sensu.] And I could furnish

p. 203.

Spirits.

Lucret.

Ibid.

furnish him with more to this purpose.-

it

n_

h

n

n

But now, what will become of the poor hamane foul among the rest of its fraternity of Spirits, which is, as he hath told us, by the una-'nimous consent of all men, as well as Divine Authority, a spiritual and pure, immaterial and 'incorporeal, and to be fure created substance? How come men to an unanimous confent in a notion utterly unintelligible and unconceiveable?— Nay, what will become of all the spiritual and invifible World?-

Well, but the great difficulty remains: How The diffican an immaterial act upon or move a material? colly of This certainly is Nodus vindice dignus. But what explaining if there be no Oedipus to unriddle it to us? What the manif neither we, nor any body else can sufficiently ser of explain it? It is no more than that ignorance we things, must be contented with in other matters of oc- make us cult Philosophy, where we subscribe often to the deny what thing, though we cannot declare the manner of is otherit.

Our Author himself, in other cases, trains us up to this degree of modesty and humility: The ultimate sphere of Natures activity and p. 267. ability, faith he, is not perfectly known.] [And as it is thus in general, faith he, fo in many par- Ibid. 'ticulars: We are ignorant of many Natural Agents that do work at a great distance, and very remotely, both to help and to hurt; the Wea-'pon-falve, the Sympathetick-powder, the curing of Difeases by Mumial applications, by Amulets, Appensions, and Transplantation, which 'all have been, and commonly are ascribed unto Satan, when they are truly wrought, faith he, by Natural Operation.]

But he cannot satisfie himself, or others, I prefume, by what contact, mediate or immediate, of Suppositum

p. 50.

must not wile evi-

Reflections upon Master Webster's

p. 338. 340.

P. 339.

204

Or by what 'influence of the Stars, quibus nota' funt omnia, que in naturà existunt; [as he tells us out of Paracelsus and his Mystical Authors, for whose vain traditional fancies he hath a profound Veneration, whatever he hath for Doctor Hammond or, under what 'right and favourable Constellations, Words, Charms, Images, and 'Characters do receive their energy and vertue. Or, how 'certain Celestial Vertues and actions are sown into Gems, from whence they afterwards spring up no otherwise than seed, which 'doth fall from a Tree, and doth regerminate. Though here, I confess, he hath some advantage from a speculation of Phantasms.

Augelini Gazzi pia Hilaria. Quid te fatigas hac minuta scrutando? Pernice pennâ fretus, Icari more, Scrutare potius digna mentis alata.

p. 268.

'vancing those Tenents [of Witch-craft, &c.]
'hath been men's supine negligence in not searching into, and experimenting the power of Natural Agents, but resting satisfied in the sleepy
notions of General Rules, and Speculative Philosophy, by which means a general prejudice
hath been created against the most occult operations of Nature, and Natural Magick.—

And may we not here retort this supine negligence upon himself, in not observing the common experience, which he and every one else hath of the incorporeal spirit within him, actuating and moving of the body, whilst he industriously opposeth this common experience by sleepy notions of General Rules, and Speculative Philosophy, concerning Bodie, ill adapted unto Spirits, and

their

their have rien ings fibil cing awa

the ter

So por or to up

as

m

fol

V

their way of operation? It is enough, that we have this Domestick Argument of our own experience in the case to oppose to all his subtil arguings: As to a Sceptick, disputing against the possibility of motion, it were a sufficient and silencing Consutation, to move from him, and turn away.

Let him resolve us, how God who is a Spirit, the most simple and pure Spirit, acts upon matter; how the Spirit of God moved upon the waters, &c. for the word Eminenter is not intelligible

enough to our faculty to be Englished.

But because this is too hard a Task, let him refolve us, how the immaterial and incorporeal Soul of Man moveth upon the body, or it's corporeal and animal Spirits; or by what Gluten, or Vinculum, and contact of superficies it is united to it's body; or how the body, vice versa, works upon and affects the immaterial Soul, which yet, as to the to other, or quod sit, are matters of common sense, and universal experience.

——Nam corpus onustum Hesternis vitiis animum quoque prægravat una. Horat.

Let him resolve us, how the Internum or moving part of Angels acts upon the Externum, or part moved,—and we shall soon be able to return him a satisfactory answer to this curious question, How an immaterial can operate upon, and move a material?

But, in the mean while, it is unreasonable to disclaim a certain Truth, because we cannot give account of the Quomodo, or manner of it.

And this is also abundant Answer to another

of his puissant Arguments.

[If Angels, saith he, be absolutely incorporeal, p. 208.

Reflections upon Master Webster's

then they cannot be contained, or circumscribed in place, and consequently can perform no operation in

Physical things.]

Contained and circumscribed in place are corporeal phantasimes, and so is place it self, as he describes it, proper unto bodies. But let him tell us, how the incorporeal spirit of man is in it's body, and that so as to perform undeniably Physical operations there, and we shall soon inform him of the Ubi of Angels, and their definitive being in it.

Let us see briefly, whether he hath better success from Scripture than from Reason, and I

have done.

Some
Texts of
H. Scripture confidered and
vindicated
from
Mr. W's
Exceptions.
P. 214.

The Scripture, saith he, informeth us, that in, or at the Resurrection, the bodies of men shall be as the Angels that are in Heaven: Sicut Angeli, Mark 12.25. Now this Analogy, Comparison or Assimilation would be altogether false, if Angels had no bodies at all, but were meerly incorporeal. Then it would follow, that bodies after the Resurrection were made pure Spirits, and so ceased to be bodies; which is false, according to the Doctrine of S. Paul, who sheweth us plainly, that after the Resurrection they are changed in qualities into σώματα πνευμαπιά, spiritual bodies.—

1 Cor. 15.44.— From whence we conclude, that Angels have bodies, and that they are pure spiritual ones.

I will not dispute against the matter of his conclusion, viz. that Angels have bodies, and that those bodies are pure and refined, such as he calls spiritual ones: For my concern is only to defend, that they are nevertheless incorporeal Beings, as the Humane Soul is, though united to a grosser body.

body.

But yet I must add a word or two of his Scripture-premises.

And

our

to it

RPWV

2/2/5/

dead

age.

And

An

the

H

Sol

God

of s

po

fui

it

the

br

fo

us

fh

in

fr

0

ir

t

(

And first here is violence offer'd to the Text of our B. Saviour, by foisting in the word Bodies of se. to it; for the Text is only thus, [8 Tay 28 on ve. Mark 12] κρών άναςώση, έτε γαμέση, έτε γαμίσκον αι άλλ' έσην ώς 24. asyenos on tois reguois] When they shall rise from the dead, they neither marry, nor are given in marriage, but are as the Angels which are in Heaven .-And it is known well enough to be our Saviours Answer to the Question propounded concerning the Woman which had had feven Husbands, In the Resurrection, whose Wife shall she be of the seven?

Elsewhere, I remember, our Author puts in Souls instead of his Bodies here. [The Word of p. 44] God doth particularly teach us the state and condition of Souls after death, that they shall be like the Angels

in Heaven.

But whatever Truth there may be in either Proposition apart, and by it felf, the H. Text, I am fure, mentions neither Bodies nor Souls: And if it did, we must not stretch Similitudes to make them argumentative beyond the thing they are brought for. They run not, we fay, on all four.

It is enough that our B. Saviour there refolves us, that we [whether in Body, or Soul, or both] shall at the Resurrection be like unto the Angels in Heaven in Immortality, and an estrangement from the fenfual inclinations and entertainments of this present imperfect state, such as Marry-

ing, and giving in Marriage.-

And we may be like the Angels in many perfections, as we are faid to be like to God himfelf, though they should have no Bodies; fo that, even upon that supposal, this Analogy, Comparison or Assimilation (as he speaks) would not be altogether false; nor would it follow, that Bodies after the Resurrection are made pure

Spirals,

Of 1 Cer.

Of Pfal.

104. 4.

p. 211.

Spirits, and cease to be Bodies, as he infers.

Secondly, for Saint Paul's σώματα πνευματικό, or, spiritual Bodies. Though upon the supposition, that Angels have Bodies, which for my part I gain-say not, it may be an ingenious translation, [Such Bodies as Spirits or Angels have;] yet it is sufficient to the purpose of the Apostle there, that our Bodies are participant of the spiritual perfection of immortality. Or, put on immortality, Ver. 53. σῶμα ψυχιών] quod ad tempus vivit dum anima adest. Anima est vox hujus vita —

πνευμαπιών] habens in se vice anima Spiritum immutabilem, &c.] Crot. in Loc. [See Ch. 3. Sett. 3. of the fore-going Treatise.] And so he cannot conclude from hence, that Angels have Bodies.

That I be not over-tedious, I will end all with fome few Reflections upon that noted Text of the Pfalmist, Who maketh his Angels Spirits, and his Ministers a flaming Fire, Pfalm 104. 4. From whence, faith our Author, the persons of the other opinion, such as Aquinas and the rest of the Scholastick Rabble, would positively conclude, that they are spirits and absolutely incorporeal; but fail of their purpose for these

clear Reasons. —

His clear Reasons I shall examine anon, when

we have first viewed the Text it felf.

I can scarce pass over that Rude and Detracting Term of Scholastic Rabble. He should have been obliged, I think to a greater sweetness and civility to those, whom he owes so much to, and of whom he hath borrowed the chief ornaments of his Book, as to this Subject; those dear Maxim's I mean, which he relies so much upon, [Imaginatio non transcendit continuum. Quicquid agit, agit vel mediatione suppositi vel virtutis; per contactum immediatum aut mediatum. Immateriale

27023

1207

no

an

ba

M

fig

br

210

ar

tr

gr

4

27

k

97

n

24

t

(

non agit in materiale, nisi eminenter ut Deus. And, not to immind him of his own essential Identity, and Alterity, he can easily match their most Bombast and Barbarous Terms among his Occult and Magical Sophies.

But to the matter before us.

It is confess'd that the original word sometimes signifies Winds as well as Spirits; and the Hebrew Doctors so read it †. Ventos Angelos suos] † Vstablus non ex accidente spirant, sed sunt Dei nuncii. Ignem in Loc. ardentem] fulgura. So R. David *. And Munster * Vicars translates it, Facit slatus nuncios suos, & ignem slapecapla in grantem nunisstros suos, q. d. 'Violent and sudden 'Winds do execute his commands, and Fire performs his pleasure: sulfilling his word, Ps. 148.8. And this is a great Truth.

But the holy Ghost in Hebr. 1. 7. as Master Ainsworth well notes, shews it to be spoken by the Psalmist of Angels properly, who are named ministring Spirits, Ver. 14. And our Physician allows, [The Author of the Epistle to Hebrews must P. 211].

needs be taken for the best Expositor of the words.

Yet among those, that conceive them of Angels, properly so call'd, there is some difference.

Some refer them to the respective Vehicles of Angels, either Aereal, (for Wind is but Air in motion) or Ethereal and Igneous. Thus Grotius, [Sunt enim Angelorum alii Aerei, alii Ignei. Angelis corpora sed subtilissima non Pythagoræ tantum & Platonis Schola sensit, sed & Judæi veteres, & veteres Christiani.] And to the same effect Doctor Hammond paraphraseth, [Who, though he be able to do all things by himself to administer the whole World, as he first created it, by a word, by saying, and it was done; yet is he pleased to make use of the Ministry of Angels, who some of them in subtile Bodies of Air, others of Fire, come down and execute

execute his Commands here upon Earth.] And in his Annotations he tells us, [As Angels and Ministers are but several names of the same Divine Creatures, so find and Fire are but expressions of the several appearances of them, sometimes in Airy, sometimes in Flaming Glouds,—] And hence I suppose, Beza in his Marginal Notes to Hebr. 1. 7. puts Cherub with Ps. 18. 11. and Seraph with Isa. 6. 2.— Junius and Tremellius interpret it, [Angelis utitur nunciis, administrisque voluntatis & judiciorum suorum, adeò commode ut ventis & igne uti solet.] He useth Angels for Messengers and Ministers of his Will and Judgments, as readily, as he is wont to do Winds and Fire.—

And to this same effect our Author chuseth to sense it, [As the Winds, which is but a strong motion in the Air, and the shining of slaming Fire, are two of the most agile, and operative Agents, that are known to us in nature; so the Angels and Christ's Ministers are strong, quick, and most nimble, and powerful in performing their Offices and Administrations.

For my part, I fee not any confiderable inconvenience in these Expositions, unless where men will dogmatize with this Author, and say the words cannot otherwise be rationally understood.——And the nature of Angels may be yet incorporcal for all these vehicles assigned them; or notwithstanding the comparison of their operations to those most powerful and subtile Agents among Bodies, Wind and Flame. Our God, who is a Spirit, most simple and absolute, is also said to be a consuming sire, Hebr. 12.29.

Who maketh his Angels Spirits] i. e. saith Master Ainsworth, spiritual substances. So differing from Christ, who is no made or created Spirit, but the Maker of all things.—— And his Ministers a

flaming

n

g

0

n

t

12.

flaming fire, i.e. 'effectual in their Administrations. Whence the Angels have appeared like 'Horses and Chariots of Fire.

And Saint Augustine, who was none of the Scholastick Rabble, finds here both Nature and Office of these Celestial Creatures. [Quaris nomen ejus Nature? Spiritus est. Quaris Officium? Angelus est. Ex eo quod est, Spiritus est. Ex eo quod agit, Angelus. Enuarat. in Ps.] See Ch. 11. Sect. 1. of the fore-going Treatise. The word Twy; saith Doctor Gouge, [whose judgment possibly may bear some sway with him, as he tells us, 'Master Baxter's doth, with other 'Reformed p. 293. and 'Orthodoxal Divines, such as 'tread not in P. 175. the steps of Arminius, 'True Sons of the Do-P. 183. Ctrine of Church of England] intimates two things.

1. Creation. So God is said to have rested from Dr. G. in all his Works, which he had made, Gen. 2. 2. And Sect. 81. to have made Heaven and Earth, Revel. 14. 7. is

meant created.

3

al

5

2. Ordination or disposing things to this or that use. — And in both senses is this phrase. [He maketh] here used. He maketh them Spirits, that is, he createth them spiritual substances. He maketh them a slame of Fire, that is, he ordereth and disposeth them to be as a slame of Fire in doing his Will.]

Now let us hear our Author's clear Reasons a-

gainst this later way of interpretation.

1. Saith he, The Text there cannot be rationally Reasons aunderstood of their Creation, or of their creaturely gainst the Nature, but of their Offices and Administrations, be-Scholastick cause the word used there is not NI to create or form interpretation of nothing, but IVY, fecit, that is by ordering tion of Pl. them in their Offices and Administrations. And a-short and gain the word III doth not always, or of necessity, sig-defective, misse an incorporeal thing, but that which is a Body, as P. 211.

the Winds, &c. P 2 With

p. 106.

Divin. Decret.

Epit. de

Angelis.

With all becoming deference to his skill in the Hebrew Language [whereof and Greek, he hath been a 'Teacher in his younger years, as ne acquaints us] the word now, fecit, is sometimes used for Creation, as I noted even now out of Doctor Gouge: and Maker of all things, in our Creed, is as much as Creator: And therefore so also it may be taken by us here. And so Theodoret, none of the Scholastick Rabble neither, understands it, alledging this for a proof of the Angels creation. And so the Arabick version reads it, Qui creavit.

And though the other word and doth not always and of necessity significe an incorporeal thing, 'tis enough to decline the force of this Reason of his, that sometimes it doth signific such, and possibly may do so. And the Arabick, if Vicars in his Decapta have rightly noted, is abs-

que corpore.

P. 211.

But the Author of the Epistle to the Hebrews, (as he adds) must needs be taken for the best Expositor of these words, who doth quote them only for this purpose, to prove that Christ in Dignity and Office is far above the Angels, who are all order'd to serve and obey him, and are by their Offices all but Ministring Spirits sent forth to minister for them, who shall be Heirs of Salvation. By which it is manifest, that this place is to be understood of their Ministrations and Offices, and not of their nature and substances.

I readily consent with him, that the Author to the Hebrews is certainly the best Expositor; But then I positively deny, that he quotes them only to shew Christs superiority in Office above the Angels. For his design there is to manifest our blessed Saviour to be superiour to them in Nature as well as Office; as God above these Creatures, who are the best of Creatures, as well as

Lord

Lord above these Ministers. But to the Son, he saith, thy Torone, O God, — as it follows immediately, Ver. 8. by way of opposition to what is here said of Angels. — And so it is far enough from being manisest, as he avers, that this place is not to be understood as inclusive of the nature and substance of Angels, their Creaturely nature, but of their Ministration and Offices only.

He yet adds,

h

S

r

2. They can no more be merely and literally said to P. 212; be Spirits, understanding Spirit to intend an absolute incorporeal substance, than his Ministers can be literally understood to be a flaming Fire. They must either be both literally true, which is absolutely absurd; or else this word must have a metaphorical interpretation, as they (he means I suppose, the other words) may and must have.—

Now I find nothing in this clear reason, but clear confidence, which afferts boldly, but proves nothing, and may therefore be answer'd by as bare a denial, or faying, that there is no must in the case, but the words may still be otherwise

understood.

For why may not one word or fentence in the fame period be literally true, and the other me-

taphorical; and so accordingly intended?

Or, what, if we should transpose the Subjects and Predicates, as some do? Who maketh Spirits his Angels, and slaming Fire his Ministers. Then both may be literally true without the least impeaching of Angels Incorporeity.

Or, what, if we should affirm both were literally true, only with this different respect, the former to the internum of Angels, the later to their externum, the former to their intrinsick na-

ture, the later to their fubtile Vehicles?

Reflections upon Master Webster's

Or, what, if we should render it, by a kind of ev sid swow, Who maketh his Ministring Angels Spirits, cloathed with atherial Bodies. Or, Who maketh Spirits cloathed with slame-like Vehicles, his Ministring Angels.

I mention these things, only by way of instance, to declare, that there are divers ways of escaping his clear Reasons in this matter without

any absolute absurdity.

And now I leave it to the Christian Readers judgment to chuse his interpretation of these words, and pronounce of the whole Controversie, as he sees cause.

Δόξα τῷ Θεῷ τῷ πατςὶ τῶν τονευμάτων.

FINIS.

